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Nitrogenase, which consists of the electron-transfer Fe protein and active-site-containing MoFe protein, reduces $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to two $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ under atmospheric pressure and temperature in a reaction coupled to the hydrolysis of ATP. ${ }^{1,2}$ Recently, a high-resolution (1.16 $\AA$ ) X-ray crystallographic study of the MoFe protein revealed electron density from an atom (denoted $\mathbf{X}$ ) inside the active-site metal cluster, the $\left[\mathrm{MoFe}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{9}\right.$ :homocitrate] FeMo -cofactor, at a distance of $2.0 \AA$ from the six "trigonal prismatic" irons and 3.3 D from all the sulfur (Chart 1). ${ }^{3}$ The electron density associated with $\mathbf{X}$ is consistent with a single $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$, or C atom, and it was natural to suggest that $\mathbf{X}$ is an N atom that derives from $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and exchanges during catalysis. ${ }^{3}$ We tested the possibility of an exchanging N by using electron-nuclear double resonance (ENDOR) ${ }^{4,5}$ and electron spin-echo envelope modulation (ESEEM) ${ }^{4}$ spectroscopies to examine the FeMo-co in wild-type (WT) and site-specifically altered MoFe proteins that were turned over with ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$. From these measurements, we inferred that if $\mathbf{X}$ is a nitrogenous species, ${ }^{6-9}$ then it does not exchange during catalysis. We now have tested whether $\mathbf{X}$ is a N or not by comparing the ENDOR and ESEEM signals from resting-state ( $S=3 / 2$ ) MoFe protein and NMFextracted FeMo -co from bacteria grown with either ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ or ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ as the exclusive N source. ${ }^{10-12}$

The first evidence as to the identity of $\mathbf{X}$ was presented long before its presence was even disclosed. In 1987, it was reported that the resting-state MoFe protein's $S=3 / 2 \mathrm{FeMo}$-co displayed X-band ESEEM from hyperfine-coupled ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$, and that the modulation disappeared when the FeMo-co was extracted into NMF. This indicated that the interacting ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ atoms being observed were associated with protein residues and provided limited evidence against there being a ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ associated with the FeMo-co. ${ }^{13}$ Subsequently, we analyzed this modulation in detail and used it to examine the consequences of amino acid substitutions to the MoFe protein in the vicinity of the FeMo-co binding site. ${ }^{14,15}$ However, X-band ESEEM might fail to show signals from ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ with hyperfine couplings far from the optimal value near "exact cancellation", where $A / 2 \sim \nu_{\mathrm{N}} \sim 0.6 \mathrm{MHz}(g \sim 3.9$, X-band). We therefore have repeated the ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ comparison between protein-bound and -extracted FeMo-co with ESEEM at Q-band, where $\nu_{\mathrm{N}}$ is 4 -fold larger. Figure 1A presents the Q-band three-pulse ESEEM patterns for the $S=$ $3 / 2$ states of the resting-state MoFe protein and for two preparations of isolated cofactor, as extracted into NMF, ${ }^{12}$ in NMF, with the addition of PhSH to coordinate to the terminal Fe and sharpen the $S=3 / 2$ signal ${ }^{16}$ and also with $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$to bind to the Mo at the opposite end of the cofactor. ${ }^{17}$ The spectrum from the MoFe protein shows ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ modulation as expected from X-band experiments, with both
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low ( $\sim 2.5 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) and higher-frequency ( $\sim 6.1 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) components. Simulations following our general ESEEM analysis procedures ${ }^{18}$ indicate that this is the same ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ that gives the strong modulation previously characterized in our X-band measurements. ${ }^{14,15}$ As can be seen, no modulation persists in either of the isolated FeMo-co preparations; the same is true in traces collected at this and other $g$-values over a wide range of values for the critical parameter, $\tau$, the separation between first and second microwave pulses. The absence of ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ modulation in both X - and Q-band ESEEM measurements is powerful evidence against the assignment, $\mathrm{X}=$ ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$. However, it is not proof. The depth of the modulation in ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ $(I=1)$ ESEEM is largely controlled by, and increases with, the nuclear quadrupole coupling. ${ }^{18}$ However, the interstitial atom X sits on a site of high symmetry (trigonal prismatic) and thus is expected to have a nearly negligible quadrupole coupling and, hence, shallow modulation.

To test the indications from the ESEEM measurements, as before, ${ }^{6}$ we performed a parallel Q-band Mims pulsed ENDOR investigation, examining resting-state MoFe protein and extracted FeMo-co derived from $A$. vinelandii grown, but with either ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ or ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ as the exclusive nitrogen source. Figure 1 B shows ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ Mims ENDOR spectra collected from the resting-state MoFe protein and NMF-extracted FeMo-cofactor with added PhSH and $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$, which improves the phase memory as seen in Figure 1A. The restingstate MoFe protein exhibits a natural-abundance ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signal at $\sim 7.4$ MHz and signals over the range of $1-5.5 \mathrm{MHz}$, arising from ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ nuclei interacting with the FeMo-co, but none of the ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ signals of the resting-state MoFe protein is seen in the spectrum of the extracted FeMo-co. As seen in the inset, the spectrum does contain overlapping signals from natural-abundance ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and distant ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Na}$ ENDOR responses from the buffer solution at $v_{\mathrm{Na}} \sim 7.8 \mathrm{MHz}$. Outside this frequency range, we detect only signals from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ (not shown). We have not identified the source of the natural-abundance ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signal associated with the FeMo Mo ; the essentially diamagnetic state of Mo in resting-state FeMo-co makes it unlikely that homocitrate is the source, making cluster-bound $\mathrm{PhS}^{-}$or NMF or $\mathbf{X}$ itself the likely candidate(s).

We further considered the possibility that the somewhat broadened EPR signal of the extracted FeMo-co, even with PhSH and


Figure 1. (A) Q-band three-pulse ESEEM spectra of the resting-state WT MoFe protein (black), FeMo-co in NMF with PhSH and $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$(red trace), and FeMo-co in NMF (blue trace; denoted NMF). (B) Q-band ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ Mims ENDOR spectra of the WT MoFe protein (black trace) and FeMo-co with $\mathrm{PhSH} / \mathrm{CN}^{-}$in NMF (red trace). (C) Q-band Mims ENDOR spectra of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ labeled $\alpha-70^{\text {Gly }} \mathrm{MoFe}$ protein (black trace) and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled FeMo-co in $\mathrm{NMF} / \mathrm{PhSH}$ (red trace). Insets to (B) and (C) are taken at higher rf power. Conditions: microwave frequency $=34.80 \mathrm{GHz}$; repetition rates $=250$ $\mathrm{Hz} ; T=2 \mathrm{~K}$; transients $\approx 200(\mathrm{ESEEM}), 2000($ ENDOR $) ;$ points/trace $=$ $512($ ESEEM $), 256$ (ENDOR); $\pi / 2$ pulse $=24 \mathrm{~ns}($ ESEEM $), 52 \mathrm{~ns}$ (ENDOR); $\tau=240 \mathrm{~ns}(\mathrm{ESEEM}) ; \tau=500 \mathrm{~ns}, \mathrm{RF}=20 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ (ENDOR).
$\mathrm{CN}^{-}$present, is accompanied by a distributed quadrupole coupling of $\mathbf{X}={ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$, and that this broadens its ENDOR signal; ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}(I=$ $1 / 2$ ) has no nuclear quadrupole moment and routinely gives much sharper ENDOR signals than ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N} .{ }^{19}$ We therefore grew $A$. vinelandii that expresses $\alpha-70^{\mathrm{Gly}} \mathrm{MoFe}$ protein (unable to reduce $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to ammonia) on ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-urea, purified the MoFe protein, extracted the FeMo-cofactor in NMF/PhSH, and performed ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ ENDOR experiments on both samples (Figure 1C). The ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ ENDOR spectrum of the MoFe protein displays well resolved responses from two kinds of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ : one with an effective coupling ${ }^{20} A^{\prime}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} 1\right) \sim 2.5 \mathrm{MHz}$, corresponding to a coupling in the $S=3 / 2$ manifold of $A^{3 / 2}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} 1\right)$ $\sim 1.4 \mathrm{MHz}, A^{3 / 2}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N} 1\right) \sim 1.0 \mathrm{MHz}$; a second with $A^{\prime}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} 2\right) \sim 0.6$ MHz , corresponding to $A^{3 / 2}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} 2\right) \sim 0.3 \mathrm{MHz}, A^{3 / 2}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N} 2\right) \sim 0.2$ MHz ("goal-post" marks). ${ }^{20,21}$ We also looked for smaller hyperfine couplings in experiments with longer $\tau$, but no new signals were observed. Analysis shows that ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N} 1$ gives rise both to the Q-band ESEEM (Figure 1A) and the deep X-band modulation. The doublet with $A^{\prime}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} 2\right) \sim 0.6 \mathrm{MHz}$ may arise from the ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ nucleus, giving rise to shallow modulation at X-band. ${ }^{14,22}$ The extracted FeMo-co
shows none of the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ signals seen with the protein, but does show the signals from natural-abundant ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Na}$.

The loss of ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ ESEEM (Figure 1A) and ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ ENDOR (Figure 1B) seen in the resting-state MoFe protein upon extraction of the FeMo-co in NMF and loss of the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ ENDOR signals of the $\alpha-70^{\text {Gly }}$ MoFe protein upon extraction of the FeMo-co (Figure 1C) show that these ${ }^{14 / 15} \mathrm{~N}$ ENDOR signals from the resting-state MoFe protein all arise from protein-bound N nuclei, and not from the cofactor itself. It is hard to imagine that the variety of spectroscopic methods discussed here could have missed a signal from $\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{N}$ unless the nucleus is uncoupled from the electron-spin system, $A^{3 / 2} \sim 0 \mathrm{MHz}$. The Q-band ENDOR of the MoFe protein has detected a ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ signal with $A^{3 / 2}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}\right) \sim 0.3 \mathrm{MHz}$, corresponding to a ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ coupling of $A^{3 / 2}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}\right) \sim 0.2 \mathrm{MHz}$, and we believe would have detected a coupling of $A^{3 / 2}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{1}\right) \sim 0.1 \mathrm{MHz}$ or less. Current DFT computations suggest that if $\mathbf{X}={ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$, then a coupling of a MHz or so is expected (similarly for $\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{C}$ or O ). ${ }^{8}$ Thus, the results presented here strongly indicate that $\mathbf{X}$ is not an N .
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